UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,799	01/31/2000	Rene Robert	2002576-0001	3973
	7590 02/23/201 LL & STEWART LLP		EXAMINER	
TWO INTERN	ATIONAL PLACE		WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
09/494,799	ROBERT, RENE Art Unit
JAMES A. KRAMER	3693

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	ew filed .			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: . 	riew is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti	om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ce of Appeal has been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the claic Claim(s) allowed: <u>31</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-43</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>44</u> .	im(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap				
All participants:				
(1) /JAK/ JAMES A. KRAMER.	(3) <u>Vincent Millin/vm/</u> Appeals Conference Specialist.			
(2) <u>/rw/ Richard Weisberger</u> .	(4)			